IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44097

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 313
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: January 13, 2017
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JON LEE CHRISTIANSEN,)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Patrick H. Owen, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of ten years, with minimum periods of confinement for one count of trafficking in methamphetamine and one count of delivery of a controlled substance, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; MELANSON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jon Lee Christiansen was found guilty of one count of trafficking in methamphetamine, I.C. § 37-2732B(a)(4), and delivery of a controlled substance, I.C. § 37-2732(a). The state dismissed an allegation that Christiansen was a persistent violator. The district court sentenced Christiansen to concurrent unified terms of ten years, with mandatory minimum periods of confinement of three years. Christiansen appeals, arguing that the indeterminate portions of his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Christiansen's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.